

File No. 306 of 1931.

Collection No.

19 .

(2)

Mysore Residency

Bangalore.

P.19

Pros. Nos. 1-14
Serial

IN. 1554
Connected with
Dept. of int. Disputes
3/12/44

Subject.

Remuneration to Inspector of Schools and other officers of
the Education Department for services rendered in conducting
certain examinations.

Previous References.

F.No.236 of 1928

F.No.77(I) of 1931.

Later References.

F.No.451 of 1933.

53/1935

7326 27

NOTES AND PRECIS.

(C)
J.N.-1-
enc

Letter No. 1710/2-27, dated the 20th June, 1931, from the
Inspector of Schools, Coorg and Bangalore.

①

The Inspector has applied for sanction for himself and the Sub Assistant Inspector to accept a remuneration of Rs. 27/- and Rs. 128/- respectively for services rendered to the Secondary School Admission Board, Bangalore, as examiners.

Major

Under Supplementary Rule 12, sanction must not be given to the acceptance of an honorarium or fee from a source other than general revenues unless the work for which it is offered has been undertaken with the knowledge and sanction of a ~~competent~~ competent authority who must certify that its performance will involve no detriment to the official duties of the Government servant performing it.

We have no information about the Secondary School Admission Board, Bangalore, or about the connection of the two officers with it.

Major

The Inspector's attention may be drawn to the provisions of Supplementary Rule 12.

Draft submitted.

P.V. Krishnamurthy

26.6.31.

B 27/6


30. VI. 31

AP
Sfr En 1/7

S N 2

Issued No. 4725, dated the 6th July, 1931.

D

S N 3

PNC

Letter No. 1937/2-27. dated the 13th July, 1931, from the

Inspector of Schools, Coorg and Bangalore.

Please see S N 1 and 2 and para 1 of these notes.

The Inspector regrets that previous sanction to undertake the work was not obtained. He requests that permission may be given as an act of grace for Mr. Rama Rau to accept the remuneration and the question of his own remuneration dropped.


It is possible that Mr. Rama Rau ^{undertook} ~~has undertaken~~ the work with the knowledge of the Inspector and as the Inspector is not a 'Head of Department' he ought to have sought Resident's sanction on behalf of Mr. Rama Rau.

The Pay and Accounts Officer may be asked U.O. whether there is any objection to the Hon'ble the Resident sanctioning the acceptance of the remuneration by Mr. Rama Rau in this case.

P. V. Krishna Rao

20.7.31

To The Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, U.O.



Issued No. 12536
8th 27th July, 1931.

Mays C & D (2)

vide App 13
serial 115

AS

x
Para 2 of these
notes.

③

Please refer to your U.O.No.5315 dated 27-7-1931 regarding sanction for the acceptance of fees for work performed by Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools in connection with the Secondary School Admission Tests.

It appears necessary to refer to the rules of the Admission Board regarding its constitution, functions, receipt and disposal of the moneys collected by it, etc., and a copy of the rules has therefore been called for from the Inspector of Schools. A reply to the reference will be sent after a study of those rules. It is not clear how the Board acquired the power to levy extra fees and to utilise the fund so collected and whether the orders of the Hon'ble the Resident were not required (if they had not been obtained).

Commissioner
21/8/31

Ue

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, B. S. S. U.O. No.P/B/8/153 dated 21st August 1931.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE. BANGALORE.
24 AUG. 1931
Regd. No. 8107
Fl. No. 206/31

④

A further communication from the Pay and Accounts Officer may be awaited.

P. V. Krishnamany

26.8.31.

P. V. Krishnamany

21.8.31

AS

Please see my U.O. No. P.B.8/153 dated 21-8-1931.

I have since seen the Minutes of the Secondary School Admission Board through the courtesy of the Inspector of Schools.

The constitution of the Board has not received the approval of the Hon'ble the Resident. It is an administrative question whether ^{his} the approval was not necessary. I am not sure however that the power to institute public examinations and regulate admission to Secondary Schools could be exercised by the Inspector on his own responsibility. It is understood that there is now a move to get Government recognition for these examinations. If such recognition is given the collection and disbursements would pass through the Government Treasury.

The Inspector and the Sub-Assistant Inspector are respectively, ex officio, the President and the Secretary of the Board. They collect fees from candidates, prescribe text books, conduct examinations, and grant certificates of admission to the I and IV Forms. The President, Secretary, and the Treasurer (Mr. Swaminatha Mudliar, Secretary of the A.N. School) generally form themselves into a sub-committee for making disbursements of which remuneration and honorarium to examiners is always an item. The Inspector, the Sub-Assistant Inspector, the Head Master of the Government Training School, and ^{other} of the Government employees are examiners. It is not clear whether, in the past, remuneration has not been received by any of them, and if so, whether sanction of the Hon'ble the Resident was obtained. I do not however remember any such application having been dealt with by this office although the 'Public' Examinations were conducted by the Board from 1927. This may perhaps be ascertained.

It may also be considered whether the Inspector and his Assistant were not really doing a part of their official duties by acting on the Board, ex officio.

X
Para 3 of these
notes.

vide s. n. 2
20/29

(M)

(8)

⑨ The Inspector says that the work involved is considerable and that the Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools ^{took} ~~take~~ upon himself the laborious task of correcting many of the papers. It is doubtful whether all the time required for this was found outside office hours.

⑩ The fees levied are 8 as from V class students and Re.1 from III Form students appearing at the 'public' examination. The amounts realised each year, and the amounts spent on remuneration and honorarium are not available from the Minutes book.

⑪ It is not clear how the sum of Rs.128/- proposed as honorarium has been fixed.


⑫ Attention is invited to Supplementary Rules 12 and 13. Supplementary Rule 12 requires that the work should have been undertaken ^{with} ~~into~~ the knowledge and sanction of competent authority. Supplementary Rule 13 lays down that if the work were done during time which would otherwise have been spent in the performance of official duties, the honorarium must ordinarily be credited to general revenues.

⑬ The condition in Supplementary Rule 12 is not satisfied ⁱⁿ ~~with~~ this case and it is doubtful whether there are special reasons ^{why} ~~to pay~~ the honorarium, ^{even} ~~sum~~ if sanctioned, should not be credited to general revenues.

⑭ As the Board have been operating for several years it seems that the Hon'ble the Resident's orders must now be obtained on all remuneration received by Government employees in the past.

S. S. S. S. S.
12 9 31

Ue The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, B'lore.
U.O.No.P.B.177 dated the 12th September 1931.



Please

(15)

Please see from para 1 supra.

These notes may be sent U.O. to the Inspector of Schools for his remarks.

P.V. Krishnaswamy

17.9.31.

AP
Sept
Gu
Gu
19.9.31

To

The Inspector of Schools, ^{Coorgand} Bangalore. U.O.

U.O. No

6859.

Dated 21st Sept. 1931.

(16)

Please see Pay & Accounts Officer's remarks above.

Para (6).- The examination seems to have been originated at the instance of Mr. Yates and with the cooperation of the Heads of Indian Schools in 1927. The main purpose of the -- examination was to control more rigidly the promotions made in the schools. The Inspector of Schools examines the question of classification of pupils at annual inspections, and should satisfy himself that promotions are properly made. Promotions are made by the Headmasters, subject to this control by the Inspector of Schools, but it appears that owing to a certain amount of abuse of this power of promotion vested in the Heads the Inspector decided that a more rigid check must be imposed. It was agreed that the boys in the III Form should pass an examination common to all the schools, before admission to the IV Form. There were other subsidiary intentions e.g., to impose a restriction on the influx into Cantonment Schools, of boys from City schools who had not secured promotion in their own schools, and to standardise the instruction given in all the Secondary Schools. There is no doubt whatever that the Examination has served and is still serving

its purpose. We are reducing the number, and improving the quality of the pupils in the High School Departments.

But the Regulation of promotions is the proper duty of the Inspector of Schools and he is presumably at liberty to use whatever machinery he chooses to this end. The sanction of the Resident does not seem to me to be necessary to the institution of such an examination. The passing of the Examination earns no official certificate.

(17)

The examination met with a great deal of opposition at its inauguration, from parents, whose dearest wish is to see their children in the VI Form at the earliest possible moment however scrappily instructed and from teachers of the lower forms who disliked having public attention drawn to their results. In view of this opposition and the -- experimental nature of the Examination it was deemed wiser to defer asking for Government recognition until its status was established and its value assured. I was asked to put it up to Government when I took office here early in 1930. I asked the Board to defer the matter until I had seen the examination under working conditions. In 1931 the scope of the examination was enlarged to include all the main subjects in the school curriculum. The examination under the new scheme was tried out with complete success in April 1931 and it is intended to ask the Government to recognise the examination as an official test before the next examination is due in April 1932.

(18)

This in brief is the nature and purpose of the examination, and, I hope, disposes of the Pay & Accounts Officer's objection in para 6 as to the propriety of the institution of the examination. I may add that a similar examination is run by Government in Mysore schools, and the question of introducing it in the Madras Presidency is under consideration.

(19)

Regarding the question of remuneration, I attach a statement showing the amounts of remuneration &c. received by Government servants since the institution of the -- Examination. I find that Mr. Rama Rau, the late Sub-Asst.

S.N. 2
207-18
(11)
Inspector of Schools, applied through the Inspector of Schools to the Resident in 1929 for permission to accept a remuneration of Rs. 80/-. This was sanctioned in Proceedings of the Hon'ble the Resident No. 6903 dated the 12th August 1929. The order is signed by Major Loch. It is observed that no question was then raised as to previous permission to undertake the work not having been obtained. Mr. Rama Rau again applied in 1931 through my letter which has originated the present discussion. He does not appear to have applied for sanction for remuneration received in the year 1928 and 1930. I have written to Mr. Rama Rau about the whole question and he writes in reply as follows:-

"I was under the impression that the Inspector of Schools, Coorg & Bangalore was empowered to sanction -- remuneration to his subordinates for special work done. The reason for thinking so, was that the Inspector of Schools was empowered to exercise the powers of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, in respect to the subordinates under him that formed his establishment. (This generalisation does not seem to be correct. F.E.) When he is empowered to appoint officers under him and grant leave to them, it stands to reason that he could grant permission to them to draw special remuneration. In 1928, I discussed this question with Mr. Yates who gave me to understand that he could sanction the payment of remuneration and grant the necessary permission". And he further states :- "I was not aware that previous permission of the Resident was necessary before I undertook the work. I assumed that since I was acting under the personal orders of the Inspector of Schools, who was my official Superior, that, in case the rules required such permission, the Inspector would be kind enough to recommend the grant of permission and that the Resident's sanction would be obtained as a matter of routine. Since this objection was not raised in 1929, by the Hon'ble the Resident's office, it was not anticipated this year. Moreover since the tests were held by a duly Constituted Board, organised by the Head of the Educational Department in the Civil & Military station, Bangalore, there was nothing unofficial about it and so, as far as I am concerned, I thought that there was no necessity to inform previously my official superior that I would undertake the work. Since everything was done with the approval of the Inspector of Schools, the Sub Assistant Inspector could not anticipate such objection as was raised by the Resident's Office. Mr. Yates thought that he was competent to sanction remuneration under the Proceedings defining the delegation of powers to the Inspector of Schools". (I cannot find any mention of this. F.E.) — It is not clear from Mr. Rama Rau's letter why he put the matter up in 1929 and 1931. With regard to the other subordinates Mr. Yates clearly seems to have acted on the view that he was empowered to sanction these rewards to the subordinates of his Department. Acting on this, the office has never put the matter up to me in any other way.

(20)
3. The matter can now be cleared up either (i) by the Resident giving his sanction retrospectively to all these payments or (ii) by the delegation to the Inspector of Schools of the power to sanction such remuneration, such

delegation to be with retrospective effect.


(21) Para 9 of Pay & Accounts Officer's notes:- I think it highly unlikely that any of the Sub Assistant Inspector's correction work was done during office hours. His diary is submitted to ^{for scrutiny} me monthly.

(22) Para 10:- The accounts are kept by the Treasurer - Mr. Swaminatha Mudaliar, the Assistant Secretary of the R.B.A.N.M.'s Charities and are perfectly clear.

(23) Para 11:- The rates of remuneration are very small in proportion to the amount of labour involved. The amounts were not however fixed until all other disbursements (printing &c) had been made. The remaining balance is allotted to the various Examiners having regard to the volume of work they undertake.

(24) Para 12:- I have already stated that the work is almost certainly not done during ~~office~~ office hours. Permission has always been granted to the various Government servants -- involved, for the acceptance of remuneration for making papers in the European School Examination. There seems no reason why it should not be allowed in this case.

(25) The point raised in connection with Supplementary Rule 12, I have dealt with above; it would appear that Mr. Yates thought he was the competent authority.



See
No. 4083/2-21
5-11-31

4-11-31

*Statement showing various Disbursements made to the G.
of The Admission Test Board between the year*

No.	Name.	Designation.	1927		1928	
			To Chief Examiner or Assistant Examiner.	To Chief Supdt. or Assistant Supdt.	To Chief Examiner or Asst. Examiner.	To Chief Supdt. or Asst. Supdt.
<u>Officials & Teachers.</u>						
1	Mrs. K. V. Rama Rao.	Sub. Asst. Insptr. of Schools.	-	-	70	-
2	" N. Maindappa.	Headmaster, Govt. T. School.	25	-	27	-
3	" J. G. Thangaswamy.	Asst. Master. —oo—	35	-	52	-
4	" S. Rangaswamy Iyengar.	—oo— —oo—	25	-	25	-
5	" K. M. Muthanna.	—oo— —oo—	-	-	-	-
6	" N. Ramaswami Reddy.	Kanarese Pandit."	-	-	-	-
7	" N. Ramaswami Reddy.	Asst. Master. —oo—	-	-	-	-
			85	-	174	-
<u>Clerks.</u>						
1	Mrs. S. Roberts.	Clerk, Office of the Sub-Asst. Insptr. of Schools.	-	-	8	-
2	" Ramachandra Rao.	—oo—	-	-	4	-
3	" Padmanabhaiah.	-	-	-	4	-
4	" Mani Iyer.	-	-	-	-	-
5	" M. B. Shanker Rao.	Head Clerk, Office of the Insptr. of Schools.	-	-	-	-
6	" G. Venk Rao.	Clerk. —oo—	-	-	-	-
7	" N. G. Thangiah.	—oo— —oo—	-	-	-	-
			-	-	16	-

CJ

Government Servants who took part in the Examination
in 1927 and 1931.

Chief bdt. or ast. bdt.	1929		1930		1931		Total			Remarks
	To Chief Examiners or Asst. Examiners.	To Chief Supts. or Asst. Supts.	To Chief Examiners or Asst. Examiners.	To Chief Supts. or Asst. Supts.	To Chief Examiners or Asst. Examiners.	To Chief Supts. or Asst. Supts.				
-	80	-	-	10	113	15	288	-	-	
-	27	-	25	8	42	15	169	-	-	
-	53	-	40	6	-	-	186	-	-	
-	25	-	20	6	4	-	105	-	-	
-	-	-	-	6	15	7-8-0	28	8	0	
-	-	-	-	3	-	-	3	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	-	
-	185	-	85	39	174	42-8-0	784	8	0	
ical notes etc.										
-	8	-	2	-	12/8	-	30	8	0	
-	8	-	6	-	12/8	-	30	8	0	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	0	0	
-	-	-	6	-	-	-	6	-	-	
-	-	-	6	-	-	-	6	-	-	
-	-	-	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	
-	-	-	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	
-	16	-	28	-	26	-	85	-	-	

True Copy).

J. Ramond.
Supr. of Schools,
Muz. Court B'lore.
4/1/31

(26)

Please see from para 1 of these notes.

If approved, sanction may be accorded to the acceptance of the remuneration from the Secondary School Admission Board, Bangalore, by the officers noted in the list.

The Inspector may also be informed that in future permission to accept remuneration will not be granted unless the requirement of Supplementary Rule 12 is complied with and that his proposals to conduct the Secondary School Admission Tests which are at present run without official recognition, as Govt. examinations, are awaited.

Two drafts submitted.

P.V. Krishnamurthy

11.11.31

[Signature]
21

[Signature]
21.11.31

Secy

(27)

*Uo to financial adviser
for opinion*

23/11

G.O. No. 8446



23rd Nov. 1931

(28)

The drafts are in order and may issue. I would not however communicate Draft I to the Accountant-General Madras, as no payment from General Revenues is ^{made} ~~made~~ and the sanction now accorded is only an administrative one. A communication to the Accountant General may involve us in unnecessary correspondence with him.

[Signature]
26.11.31.

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, B'lore.
U.O. No. 13 dated 26th November 1931.



The

(29)

The Accountant-General, Madras, may be deleted in draft I.

For orders whether the two drafts may now issue.

P.V. Krishnamammy

(29/11/31)

28.11.31.

P2
38711
1.12.31

AS

See

Please see from para 1.

30

F.A. has seen with para 28.

Drafts may issue.

Resident.

987
11/12

Red
10.12

S N 4 and 5

Issued No.s. 9002 and 9003, dated 16-12-1931.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

In future letters, please
quote No. *1710/2-27*
dated
and short title*

Rec'd *Enclosures*

Reg'd *Spare copies*

All communications to be
addressed to the official
address and not by name.

Dated the *20th* June, 1931.

FROM

F. F. C. Edmonds Esq., M.A., B.Sc.,

Inspector of Schools,

TO

Coorg & Bangalore, Bangalore.

THE Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident

in Mysore,

C. & M. Station Bangalore.

SIR,

May 2
Under Rule 46 of the Fundamental Rules, I have the honour to request you to be so good as to obtain and communicate to me the -- sanction of the Hon'ble the Resident permitting the following Office, to accept the remuneration noted against them for services rendered to the *Secondary School Admissin* ~~S. S. A.~~ Board, Bangalore, as examiners. The work was done by them without detriment to their legitimate duties.

- 1) Mr. F. F. C. Edmonds, Inspector of Schools
Coorg & Bangalore, Bangalore. Rs. 27-0-0.
- 2) Mr. K. V. Rama Rao, S. A. I., C. & M. Stn.
Bangalore. Rs. 128-0-0.


I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

F. F. C. Edmonds
Inspector of Schools,

Coorg and Bangalore.



All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

No. 4725
306-1931.

FROM
Captain G. Kirkbride,
SECRETARY TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

TO
The Inspector of Schools,
Coorg and Bangalore.

Dated Bangalore, 6th July 1931.

Subject.

Acceptance of remuneration for work done to the
Secondary School Admission Board, Bangalore.

SIR,

SN.1

With reference to your letter No. 1710/2-27, dated the 20th June, 1931, I am directed to invite your attention to Supplementary Rule 12 under which sanction cannot be given to the acceptance of a remuneration from a source other than general revenues unless the work for which the remuneration is offered has been undertaken with the knowledge and sanction of a competent authority. It does not appear that the sanction of the Hon'ble the Resident to undertake the work has been obtained in this case.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

of 

Captain.

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

24

BJ
4.7.31

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

3

In future letters, please
quote No. *1937/2.27*
dated
and short title*

Rec'd

Enclosures

Reg'd

Spare copies

All communications to be
addressed to the official
address and not by name.

Date *the 13th* July, 1931.

FROM

F.F.C. Edmonds, Esq., M.A., B.Sc.,

Inspector of Schools, Coorg & Bangalore.

TO

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident

in Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

S. N. 2

With reference to your No. 4725/306-31, dated 6.7.1931,


I have the honour to state that although the Secondary School Admission Tests are at present conducted without official recognition, I am intending to put up proposals to conduct these as Government Examinations this year. The Examinations are run as a check on the efficiency of the Schools and are of the utmost value. The work involved is -- considerable and the Sub Assistant Inspector of Schools took upon himself the laborious task of correcting many of the papers, it being of importance that he should be intimately acquainted with the work turned out. I regret that previous sanction to undertake the work was not obtained. I request that in the circumstances, permission be given as an act of grace, for Mr. Rama Rau to accept the remuneration in question. The question of my own remuneration may be dropped.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

F.F.C. Edmonds

Inspector of Schools,

Coorg and Bangalore.



4
Proceedings of the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore.

No. 9002 Dated 16th Dec. 47

Read:- Letters No.1710/2-27, dated the 20th
June 1931 and No.1937/2-27, dated the 13th
July 1931, from the Inspector of Schools,
Coorg and Bangalore.

O R D E R

Under Rule 46 of the Fundamental Rules,
the Hon'ble the Resident is pleased to
accord post facto sanction to the
acceptance by the following officers, of
the remuneration noted against them, from
the Secondary School Admission Board,
Bangalore, for services rendered by them
in connection with the examinations of
the Board, as the work done involved no
detriment to their legitimate duties and
was of such an arduous nature as to
justify a special reward:-

Name of officer	Designation	Amount			
		In 1927 Rs.	In 1928 Rs.	In 1929 Rs.	In 1930 Rs.
✓ 1. Mr. K. V. Rama Rao,	Sub Asst. Inspector of Schools.	- ✓	70 ✓	- ✓	10 ✓
✓ 2. Mr. N. Maindappa,	Head Master, Govt. Training School.	25 ✓	27 ✓	27 ✓	33 ✓
✓ 3. Mr. J. G. Thangaswamy,	Asst. Master, Govt. Training School.	35 ✓	52 ✓	53 ✓	46 ✓
✓ 4. Mr. S. Rangaswamy Iyengar,	Do Do	25 ✓	25 ✓	25 ✓	26 ✓
✓ 5. Mr. K. M. Muttanna,	DO DO	- ✓	- ✓	- ✓	6 ✓
✓ 6. Mr. Venkatramiah,	Kanarese Pandit, -	- ✓	- ✓	- ✓	3 ✓
✓ 7. Mr. Roberts,	Clerk, Office of the Sub Asst. Inspector of Schools.	- ✓	8 ✓	8 ✓	2 ✓
✓ 8. Mr. Ramachandra Rao,	Do Do	- ✓	4 ✓	8 ✓	6 ✓
✓ 9. Mr. Padmanabachar	Clerk, Office of the Inspector of Schools.	- ✓	4 ✓	- ✓	- ✓
✓ 10. Mr. Mani Iyer,	DO DO	- ✓	- ✓	- ✓	6 ✓
✓ 11. Mr. B. Shankar Rao,	Head Clerk, Do Do	- ✓	- ✓	- ✓	6 ✓
✓ 12. Mr. Guru Rao,	Clerk, Do Do	- ✓	- ✓	- ✓	4 ✓
✓ 13. Mr. N. G. Thangiah,	Do Do	- ✓	- ✓	- ✓	4 ✓

2. Under Rule 46 of the Fundamental
Rules, the Hon'ble the Resident is
pleased to sanction the acceptance by the
following officers, of the remuneration
noted.

2
noted against them, from the Secondary School Admission Board, Bangalore, for services rendered by them in connection with the examinations of the Board in 1931, as the work done involved no detriment to their legitimate duties and was of such an arduous nature as to justify a special reward:-

Name of Officer	Designation	Amount
✓ 1. Mr. K.V. Rama Rao,	Sub Asst. Inspector of Schools.	Rs. 128-0-0 ✓
✓ 2. Mr. N. Maindappa,	Head Master, Govt. Training School.	57-0-0 ✓
✓ 3. Mr. S. Rangaswamy Iyengar,	Asst. Master, Govt. Training School.	4-0-0 ✓
✓ 4. Mr. K.M. Muttanna,	Do Do	22-8-0 ✓
✓ 5. Mr. N. Ramanatha Iyer,	Do Do	5-0-0 ✓
✓ 6. Mr. S. Roberts,	Clerk, Office of the Sub Asst, Inspector of Schools.	12-8-0 ✓
✓ 7. Mr. Ramachandra Rao,	Do Do	12-8-0 ✓

8/12
Secy. to the Resident.

To

The Inspector of Schools, Coorg and Bangalore.
~~The Accountant-General, Madras.~~

P.V. Krishnamurthy

11.11.31

21/11

21/11/31

No. **9003**
306-1931.

5

FROM

Captain G. Kirkbride,
SECRETARY TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

TO

The Inspector of Schools,
Coorg and Bangalore.

Dated Bangalore,

16th Decr. 1931.

Subject.

Acceptance of honorarium or fee by officers
of the Educational Department in the Civil
& Military Station of Bangalore.

SIR,

104 I am directed to refer to the Proceedings of the Hon'ble
the Resident No. **9002** dated the **16th** December, 1931,
sanctioning the acceptance by certain officers of remuneration
from the Secondary School Admission Board, Bangalore.

2. In future, permission to accept remuneration will not be
granted unless the requirements of Supplementary Rule 12 have
been complied with.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

O/C

Captain.
SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

#7

BJ